## Office of Academic Planning and Assessment

## **Meta-Assessment Rubric for Evaluating Annual Assessment Plans**

Program/Unit Name:						Assessment Cy	ˈcle:
	Overall, this plan i	s: Developing	Minimal Complia	-	Good	Exemplary	
Goals: Broadly stated	Goals: Broadly stated intentions, aspirations, or ambitions. Goals need not be directly measurable.						
Develo	ping	Minimally Comp	liant		Good		Exemplary
<ul> <li>□ None entered; or</li> <li>□ So vague or incomplunclear what is to be</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>☐ At least one entered</li> <li>☐ At least one provides ento see how the Goal relapurpose of the unit</li> </ul>	•	don't the ur Provic how t	than one entered, <b>BU</b> address the full purpos hit de enough detail to see he Goals generally rela purpose of the unit	se of address the  Clearly article relate to the	nne entered, <u>AND</u> full purpose of the unit ulate how the Goals e purpose of the unit documents provided, priate
Notes:							

**Objectives:** Specific, measurable statements. Learning Objectives articulate the knowledge, skills, or abilities gained or demonstrated. Performance Objectives describe the desired quality or improvement of key services. **Minimally Compliant** Developing Good **Exemplary**  $\square$  None entered; **or** ☐ At least one entered More than one entered, but  $\square$  More than one entered, and cover So vague or incomplete that it's ☐ At least one fully articulates the may not cover the full breadth the full breadth of student learning Learning/Performance objectives of student learning required for required for the degree **OR** the unclear what is to be accomplished the degree **OR** the services support services provided by the with the Objective expected provided by the unit Unclear how the Objectives could be unit ☐ At least one is measureable ☐ All Learning Objectives clearly ☐ All provide enough detail to measured ☐ At least one is accurately classified determine the general articulate how knowledge, skills, or ☐ All/majority are not accurately as Learning/Performance Learning/Performance abilities will be demonstrated; All classified as Learning/Performance Objectives expected Performance Objectives clearly ☐ No Learning Objectives for degree articulate the desired improvement ☐ All are generally observable and programs of services measureable ☐ All are accurately classified as ☐ All are clearly observable and student Learning/Performance measurable ☐ All are accurately classified as student Learning/Performance, with a mixture of both Learning **AND** Performance (If appropriate for the unit)  $\square$  Supporting documents provided, when appropriate Notes:

Indicators (Learning Objectives Only): The methods, instruments, processes, or techniques used to measure and evaluate the Learning Outcomes. Indicators can be direct or indirect; although, academic units should include some direct indicators of student learning.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
☐ None entered; <u>or</u>	☐ At least one Indicator is used for	☐ Multiple Indicators are used for	☐ Multiple Indicators are used for
☐ So vague or incomplete that it's	each Learning Objective	some of the Learning Objectives	most (or all) Learning Objectives
unclear what the instrument was, how	☐ At least one includes enough	☐ Most include enough	$\square$ All include enough information to
it was developed, and how it was used	information to fully describe what	information to fully describe	fully understand what the
$\square$ No direct indicators included	the instrument was, how it was	what the instrument was, how it	instrument was, how it was
$\square$ Unclear how any can provide data for	developed, and how it was used	was developed, and how it was	developed, and how it was used
improving learning	☐ Direct Indicators used for a majority	used	$\square$ A mix of direct and indirect
☐ Course grades used by degree	of Objectives	☐ Direct Indicators are used for all	Indicators are used for most (or all)
programs as indicators of student	☐ Clear how at least one can provide	Objectives	Objectives
learning	data for improving student learning	$\square$ Clear how most can provide data	-
		for improving student learning	improving student learning
			☐ Supporting documents provided,
			when appropriate
Notes:			

Criterion (Learning Objectives Only): Result, target, benchmark, or value that will represent success at achieving a Learning Outcome.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
<ul> <li>None entered; or</li> <li>So vague or incomplete that the specific result, target, benchmark, or value being used to determine whether the student met the Objective is unclear</li> <li>□ Criterion seem inappropriate for the Objectives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Are provided for all Indicators and most seem reasonable</li> <li>□ Some lack context to help determine how they were selected and/or were appropriate for the Objectives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Are provided for all Indicators and all seem reasonable</li> <li>□ All contain general contextual information to explain how they were selected and were appropriate for the Objectives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Are provided for all Indicators and are reasonable, specific, and measurable</li> <li>□ All contain detailed contextual information (e.g., specific benchmarks, accepted standards, past results, etc.) explaining how they were selected and were appropriate for the Objectives</li> <li>□ Supporting documents provided, when appropriate</li> </ul>
Notes:	1	1	тиси арргориасс

KPIs (Performance Objectives Only): The method used to evaluate a Performance Objective and the expected result, target, benchmark, or value that will represent success. Can be direct or indirect; although, direct is preferred.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
<ul> <li>No KPIs entered; or</li> <li>So vague or incomplete that it's unclear what the instrument or process was, how it was developed, and/or how it was used</li> <li>No direct KPIs included</li> <li>No criterion for success referenced, or</li> <li>Criterion for success are so vague or incomplete that the specific result, target, benchmark, or value being used to determine whether the student met the Objective is unclear</li> <li>Criterion for success seem unreasonable for the Objectives</li> <li>Unclear how all KPIs can provide data for improving performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ At least one KPI is used for each Performance Objective, each includes a criterion for success, and most seem reasonable for the Objectives</li> <li>□ Direct KPIs are used for a majority of Objectives</li> <li>□ At least one includes enough information to fully understand what the instrument was, how it was developed, and/or how it was used, though some may lack context to help determine how the criterion for success were selected and were appropriate for the Objectives</li> <li>□ Clear how at least one KPI can provide data for improving performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multiple KPIs are used for some of the Performance Objectives, and each includes a criterion for success and all seem reasonable for the Objectives</li> <li>Direct KPIs are used for all Objectives</li> <li>Most include enough information to fully understand what the instrument or process was, how it was developed, and how it was used, and all contain some contextual information to explain how the criterion for success were selected and were appropriate for the Objectives</li> <li>□ Clear how most KPIs can provide data for improving performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multiple KPIs are used for most (or all) Performance Objectives, and each contain criterion for success that were reasonable, specific, measurable, and meaningful for the Objectives</li> <li>A mix of direct and indirect KPIs are used for most (or all) Objectives</li> <li>All include enough information to fully understand what the instrument or process was, how it was developed, and how it was used, and all contain specific contextual information to explain how the criterion for success were selected and were appropriate for the Objectives (e.g., specific benchmarks, accepted standards, past results, etc.)</li> <li>□ Clear how all KPIs can provide data for improving performance</li> <li>□ Supporting documents provided,</li> </ul>
Notes:			when appropriate

## Findings/KPI Results: A clear and concise summary of the results gathered from the assessment Indicators and/or KPIs.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
☐ None entered; or ☐ So vague or incomplete that it's unclear what was actually learned from the assessments or measurements of the Objectives ☐ None align with the instruments and processes described within the Indicators & Criterion/KPIs ☐ None clearly articulate whether the expected Criterion were met and whether the Objective was accomplished ☐ None provide actionable data for improvement ☐ None demonstrate good processes for data collection and/or analysis	Findings or Results are entered for most Objectives (or clarify why findings/results were not available)  At least one aligns with the instruments and processes described within the Indicators & Criterion/KPIs  At least one clearly articulates whether the expected Criterion were met and whether the Objective was accomplished  At least one provides actionable data for improvement  At least one demonstrates acceptable processes for data collection and/or analysis	☐ Findings or Results are entered for all Objectives (or clarify why Findings/Results were not available) ☐ Most align with the instruments and processes described within the Indicators & Criterion/KPIs ☐ Most clearly articulate whether the expected Criterion were met and whether the Objective was accomplished ☐ Most provide actionable data for improvement ☐ Most demonstrate good processes for data collection and/or analysis	Findings or Results are entered for all objectives, are detailed, and are well organized. If Findings/Results not available, explanations include why and when next they will be reported  All align with the instruments and processes described within the Indicators & Criterion/KPIs  All provide clear and detailed evidence for the attainment of the expected Criterion and whether the Objective was accomplished  All provide detailed actionable data that can clearly be used for improvement  All demonstrate good processes for data collection and/or analysis  Supporting documents provided, when appropriate
Notes:			

Actions: Specific steps taken to improve a program/unit based on analysis of the assessment Findings/KPI Results.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
<ul> <li>None entered; or</li> <li>So vague or incomplete that the specific steps taken for improvement in response to the Findings/Results are unclear</li> <li>None connect with, or follow from, the assessment Findings/Results</li> <li>All focus exclusively on "continuing" current processes without any discussion of steps taken for improvement, improving assessment processes, or increasing targets or criteria, rather than improving the program/unit</li> </ul> Notes:	<ul> <li>□ At least one Action entered for the assessment plan</li> <li>□ At least one includes enough information to determine the specific steps taken for improvement in response to the Findings/Results</li> <li>□ At least one connects with, or follows from, the assessment Findings/Results</li> <li>□ At least one does not focus on "continuing" current processes without any discussion of steps taken for improvement, improving assessment processes, or increasing targets or criteria, rather than improving the program/unit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ More than one Action entered; although Actions may not be provided for all Findings/Results</li> <li>□ Most include enough information to determine the specific steps taken for improvement in response to the Findings/Results</li> <li>□ Most connect with, or follow from, the assessment Findings/Results</li> <li>□ Most do not focus on "continuing" current processes, but rather identify steps taken for improvement, improving assessment processes, or increasing targets or criteria, but rather focus on improving the program/unit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Actions are provided for all Findings/Results</li> <li>□ All include specific and detailed information (e.g., timeline for implementation, needed resources, personnel involved) regarding steps taken for improvement in response to the Findings/Results</li> <li>□ All connect clearly with, or follow clearly from, the assessment Findings/Results</li> </ul>
Notes.			

Update to the Previous Cycle's Plan for Continuous Improvement (PCI Update): Narrative updating the unit's relative progress in completing their previous cycle's Plan for Continuous Improvement.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
□ Not entered; <u>or</u>	☐ Provides a progress update for some	☐ Provides a progress update for	☐ Provides a progress update for all
☐ Fails to update relevant progress	elements of the previous cycle's PCI	most elements of the previous	elements of the previous cycle's
regarding any of the previous cycle's	☐ The updates for the elements lack	cycle's PCI elements	PCI elements
PCI elements	detail and specificity	☐ The updates for most elements	☐ The updates for all elements are
$\square$ Does not provide relevant contextual	☐ Provides relevant contextual	are specific and detailed	specific and detailed
information for any of the previous	information for some of the	☐ Provides relevant contextual	☐ Provides relevant contextual
cycle's PCI elements	previous cycle's PCI elements	information for most elements of	information for all elements of the
	$\square$ The contextual information for the	the previous cycle's PCI elements	previous cycle's PCI elements
	elements lack detail and specificity	☐ The contextual information for	$\square$ The contextual information for all
		most elements are specific and	elements are specific and detailed
		detailed	
Notes:			

Plan for Continuous Improvement (PCI): Narrative summarizing all Actions to be implemented together into one coherent and detailed plan. This narrative should include a summary of all the identified Actions, as well as any other action-items not included elsewhere within the assessment plan. The action-items included within the PCI should be clearly based on a unit's Findings/KPI Results, and should provide additional contextual information or details about what these Actions are, how and when they will be implemented, and who will be responsible.

Developing	Minimally Compliant	Good	Exemplary
☐ Not entered; <u>or</u>	☐ Identifies some (but not most)	☐ Identifies most actions for	☐ All actions for improvement are
☐ So vague or incomplete the specific	general actions for improvement	improvement	identified, specific, and detailed
actions for improvement are unclear	☐ Identifies the assessment Results	$\square$ Identifies the assessment	☐ The assessment Results used to
☐ Fails to identify the specific	driving some (but not most) actions	Results driving most actions for	drive all actions for improvement
assessment Results driving any of the	for improvement	improvement	are identified, specific, and
Actions for improvement	☐ Provides general details regarding the	☐ Provides general details	detailed
☐ Does not provide any details regarding	implementation for some (but not	regarding the implementation of	☐ Provides specific and detailed
the implementation of the actions for	most) of the actions for improvement	most actions for improvement	information regarding the
improvement (e.g., timelines,	(e.g., timelines, resources needed,	(e.g., timelines, resources	implementation of the actions (e.g.,
resources needed, and personnel	and personnel responsible)	needed, and personnel	timelines, resources needed, and
responsible)		responsible)	personnel responsible)
Notes:			

Overall Comments on the Assessment Plan:				